Case 0:24-cr-60056-MD Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 04/04/2024 Page 1 of 7

FILED BY R.B. D.C.

Apr 4, 2024

ANGELA E. NOBLE
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 24-CR-60056 Damian /Valle

18 U.S.C. § 2251(a) & (e)

18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)

18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) & (b)(2)

18 U.S.C. § 875(d)

18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C)

18 U.S.C. § 2253(a)

18 U.S.C. § 2428(a)(1)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,

Defen	dant.		

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

COUNT 1 Production of Child Pornography (18 U.S.C. § 2251(a) and (e))

From on or about November 23, 2022, and continuing until on or about December 25, 2022, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,

employed, used, persuaded, induced, enticed, and coerced a minor, Minor Victim 1, to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of such conduct, knowing and having reason to know that such visual depiction will be transported and transmitted using any means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, and in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a) and (e).

COUNT 2 Enticement of a Minor (18 U.S.C. § 2422(b))

From on or about November 23, 2022, and continuing until on or about December 25, 2022, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendant,

JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,

using any facility and means of interstate commerce, did knowingly persuade, induce, entice, and coerce an individual, Minor Victim 1, who had not attained the age of eighteen years, to engage in any sexual activity for which a person can be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT 3
Possession of Child Pornography
(18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) & (b)(2))

On or about October 17, 2023, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,

did knowingly possess matter which contained any visual depiction that had been shipped and transported using any means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, and in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, and which was produced using materials which have been so shipped and transported, by any means, including by computer, and the production of such visual depiction having involved the use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2256(2), and such visual depiction was of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(4)(B) and (b)(2).

COUNT 4 Sending Extortionate Interstate Communications (18 U.S.C. § 875(d))

From on or about November 23, 2022, and continuing until on or about December 25, 2022, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,

did knowingly, with the intent to extort from another person, N.J., a thing of value, transmit in interstate and foreign commerce any communication containing any threat to injure the reputation of the addressee, that is, the defendant threatened to post sexually explicit photographic images of the addressee, if the addressee refused to send sexually explicit images of herself to the defendant, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(d).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The allegations of this Indictment are hereby re-alleged and, by this reference, fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of certain property in which the defendant, **JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN**, has an interest.
- 2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251 and/or 2252, as alleged in this Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253(a):
 - a. Any visual depiction described in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251, 2251A, 2252, 2252A, 2252B, or 2260 or any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed, shipped or received in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Chapter 110;
 - b. Any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such offense; and

c. Any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or to promote

the commission of such offense or any property traceable to such property.

3. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422, as

alleged in this Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States: (a) any property, real or

personal, that was used or intended to be used to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, such

offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2428(a)(1); and (b) any property, real or

personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such offense, pursuant to Title

18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C).

4. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875, as

alleged in this Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or

personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such offense, pursuant to Title

18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C), 2253(a), and

2428(a)(1), and the procedures set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as

incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253(b), and Title 28, United States Code,

Section 2461(c).

) BRUCE O. BROWN

MARKENZY LAPOINTE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

M. CATHERWEKOONTZ

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

4

Case 0:24-cr-60056-MD Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 04/04/2024 Page 5 of 7 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY	UNIT	TED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO.: 24-CR-60056 Damian/ Valle				
Defendant.	v.						
Court Division (select one) New Defendant(s) (Yes or No) Minmi Key West FTP Number of New Defendants Total number of new counts Total number of new counts New Defendants Total number of new counts T	JOSH	UA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN,	CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY				
Court Division (select one) New Defendant(s) (Yes or No) Minmi Key West FTP Number of New Defendants Total number of new counts Total number of new counts New Defendants Total number of new counts T		/ Defendant	Superseding Case Information:				
Miami	Cour		•				
I do hereby certify that: 1. I have carefully considered the allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto. 2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. 3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No_List language and/or dialect: 4. This case will take 3 days for the parties to try. 5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) I □ 0 to 5 days □ Petty II □ 6 to 10 days □ Minor III □ 11 to 20 days □ Misdemeanor IV □ 21 to 60 days □ Misdemeanor IV □ 21 to 60 days □ Case No. 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No_If yes, Judge □ Case No. 7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No_If yes, Magistrate Case No. 8. Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) □ If yes, Judge □ Case No. 9. Defendant(s) in federal custody as of □ Defendant(s) in state custody as of □ Rule 20 from the □ District of □ Defendant(s) in state custody as of □ Defendant(s) in the District of □ District of □ Defendant(s) in the District of □ Defendant(s) in the District of □ Defendant(s) in the District of □ District of □ District of □ Defendant(s) in the District of □ District of		•					
1. I have carefully considered the allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto. 2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. 3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No_List language and/or dialect: 4. This case will take 3_ days for the parties to try. 5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one)	×		Total number of new counts				
witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto. 2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. 3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No_List language and/or dialect:	I do h	ereby certify that:	•				
2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. 3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No List language and/or dialect: 4. This case will take 3 days for the parties to try. 5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) (Check only one) I □ 0 to 5 days □ Petty II □ 6 to 10 days □ Minor III □ 11 to 20 days □ Misdemeanor IV □ 21 to 60 days □ Felony V □ 61 days and over 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No If yes, Judge □ Case No. 7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No If yes, Magistrate Case No. 8. Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) □ Fyes, Judge □ Case No. 9. Defendant(s) in state custody as of □ Defendant(s) in state custody as of □ Defendant(s) in state custody as of □ Destinic approach of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No	1.						
their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No_List language and/or dialect: This case will take 3 days for the parties to try. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) (Check only one) [Check only one) (Check only one) (Check only one) [Check only one) (Check only one) (Ch							
List language and/or dialect: 4. This case will take 3 days for the parties to try. 5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) (Moe one) (Petty (I in this District Court? (Yes or No) No. (If yes, Judge	2.						
5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) (District Out) (Yes or No) No	3.		_				
(Check only one) I	4.	This case will take 3 days for the parties to try	y.				
(Check only one) I	5.	Please check appropriate category and type of	offense listed below:				
I □ 0 to 5 days □ Petty II □ 6 to 10 days □ Minor III □ 11 to 20 days □ Misdemeanor IV □ 21 to 60 days □ Felony V □ 61 days and over 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No If yes, Judge □ Case No. 7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No If yes, Magistrate Case No. 8. Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) □ If yes, Judge □ Case No. 9. Defendant(s) in federal custody as of 10. Defendant(s) in state custody as of 11. Rule 20 from the □ District of 12. Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No 13. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No 14. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No 15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No							
II ☐ 6 to 10 days ☐ Minor III ☐ 11 to 20 days ☐ Misdemeanor IV ☐ 21 to 60 days ☑ Felony V ☐ 61 days and over 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No If yes, Judge ☐ Case No. 7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No If yes, Magistrate Case No. 8. Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) ☐ If yes, Judge ☐ Case No. 9. Defendant(s) in federal custody as of 10. Defendant(s) in state custody as of 11. Rule 20 from the ☐ District of 12. Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No 13. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No 14. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No 15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No							
III ☐ 11 to 20 days ☐ Misdemeanor IV ☐ 21 to 60 days ☑ Felony V ☐ 61 days and over 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) № ☐ If yes, Judge ☐ Case No. 7. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) № ☐ If yes, Magistrate Case No. ☐ Soes this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) ☐ If yes, Judge ☐ Case No. ☐ Case No. ☐ Obefendant(s) in federal custody as of ☐ Defendant(s) in state custody as of ☐ It Rule 20 from the ☐ District of ☐ It Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) № ☐ It has a potential death penalty		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 V □ 61 days and over 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No If yes, Judge			anor				
 6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No If yes, Judge							
If yes, Judge		V \Box 61 days and over					
 Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No	6.		rict Court? (Yes or No) No				
If yes, Magistrate Case No		If yes, Judge C					
If yes, Judge Case No	7.						
If yes, Judge Case No		If yes, Magistrate Case No.					
 Defendant(s) in state custody as of	8.	Does this case relate to a previously filed matter	er in this District Court? (Yes or No)				
 Defendant(s) in state custody as of	^	If yes, Judge C	ase No				
 Rule 20 from the District of		Defendant(s) in federal custody as of					
 Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No		Detendant(s) in state custody as of District of					
 Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No 		Is this a notential death negative case? (Ves or No.) No.					
 prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No							
 14. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No 15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No 							
 to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No 15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No 	14						
 15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No 	1 1.						
during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No 16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No	15.						
16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No							
Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No	16.						
- // // // // // // // // // // // // //							
		-					

By: M. CATHERINE ROONT

Assistant United States Attorney

Court ID No.

A5501929

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN
Case No:
Count #: 1
Production of Child Pornography
18 U.S.C. § 2251(a) and (e)
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 30 years * Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): 15 years * Max. Supervised Release: Life (minimum term of 5 years) * Max. Fine: \$250,000
Count #:2
Enticement of a Minor
18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: Life * Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): 10 years * Max. Supervised Release: Life (minimum term of 5 years) * Max. Fine: \$250,000
Count #: 3
Possession of Child Pornography
18 U.S.C. §§ 2252(a)(4)(B) & (b)(2)
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years * Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): N/A * Max. Supervised Release: Life (minimum term of 5 years) * Max. Fine: \$250,000

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: JOSHUA ISAIAH SIFFRAIN	
Case No:	
Count #: 4	
Sending Extortionate Interstate Communications	
18 U.S.C. § 875(d)	
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 2 years * Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): N/A	
* Max. Supervised Release: 1 year	
* Max. Fine: \$250,000	

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.